ΑΠΟΣΠΑΣΜΑ ΠΡΑΚΤΙΚΩΝ 494%/12-1-2023 ΤΑΚΤΙΚΗΣ ΣΥΝΕΔΡΙΑΣ ΤΗΣ ΣΥΓΚΛΗΤΟΥ ΤΟΥ ΠΑΝΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΙΟΥ ΚΡΗΤΗΣ

ΘΕΜΑΤΑ ΕΚΠΑΙΔΕΥΣΗΣ ΚΑΙ ΕΡΕΥΝΑΣ

Θέμα:	Έγκοιση στην Αγγλική γλώσσα: (α) των Ποότυπων Ερωτηματολογίων
30	Αξιολόγησης Α΄ Κύκλου από τους φοιτητές, (β) της Διαδικασίας Ηλεκτρονικής
	Αξιολόγησης Μαθήματος/Διδασκαλίας μέσα στην αίθουσα από τους φοιτητές και
	(γ) της Διαδικασίας Στατιστικής Επεξεργασίας Ερωτηματολογίων & Υπολογισμού
	Βαθμολογίας Αξιολόγησης Μαθημάτων.

Η Σύγκλητος του Πανεπιστημίου Κρήτης, λαμβάνοντας υπόψη για το παρόν θέμα:

- τις διατάξεις του ν. 4957/2022 (ΦΕΚ $141/\tau$. A' /21.07.2022),

- την υπ' αριθμ. 492η/24-11-2022, θέμα Εκπαίδευσης και Έρευνας 7°, απόφαση της Συγκλήτου του Πανεπιστημίου Κρήτης με θέμα την «Έγκριση: α) των Πρότυπων Ερωτηματολογίων Αξιολόγησης, β) της Διαδικασίας Ηλεκτρονικής Αξιολόγησης Μαθήματος/Διδασκαλίας μέσα στην αίθουσα από τους φοιτητές και γ) της Διαδικασίας Στατιστικής Επεξεργασίας Ερωτηματολογίων και Υπολογισμού Βαθμολογίας Αξιολόγησης Μαθημάτων Α' και Β' Κύκλου.»,

- το απόσπασμα πρακτικών της υπ' αριθμ. 40%/21-12-2022 Συνεδρίασης της Μονάδας Διασφάλισης και Ποιότητας (ΜΟ.ΔΙ.Π.) του Πανεπιστημίου Κρήτης, στο οποίο επισυνάπτονται και αποτελούν αναπόσπαστο κομμάτι του τα Παραρτήματα: 1) Κατάλογος με τα έξι (6) Πρότυπα Ερωτηματολόγια Αξιολόγησης, 2) Διαδικασία Ηλεκτρονικής Αξιολόγησης Μαθήματος/Διδασκαλίας μέσα στην αίθουσα από τους φοιτητές και 3) Διαδικασία Στατιστικής Επεξεργασίας Ερωτηματολογίων και Υπολογισμού Βαθμολογίας Αξιολόγησης Μαθημάτων Α΄ και Β΄ Κύκλου,

- την εισήγηση του Αντιπρύτανη Ακαδημαϊκών Υποθέσεων, Δια Βίου Μάθησης, Διεθνών Σχέσεων και Εξωστρέφειας, Καθηγητή Γεωργίου Κοσιώρη

και έπειτα από συζήτηση,

αποφασίζει ομόφωνα τα ακόλουθα:

Εγκρίνει στην αγγλική γλώσσα τα Πρότυπα Ερωτηματολόγια Αξιολόγησης Α΄ Κύκλου Σπουδών, όπως αποτυπώνονται στο Παράρτημα 1, το οποίο αποτελεί αναπόσπαστο κομμάτι του αποσπάσματος πρακτικών της υπ' αριθμ. 40^{ης}/21-12-2022 Συνεδρίασης της Μονάδας Διασφάλισης και Ποιότητας (ΜΟ.ΔΙ.Π.) του Πανεπιστημίου Κρήτης, ως ακολούθως:

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE EVALUATION OF COURSE/TEACHING/LECTURES TEMPLATE

A. The course

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		

- **A1.** The course organization (compliance with the timetable, course replacements, timely announcement of the examination system, information about the course outlines, schedule of intermediate examinations, additional educational activities, announcements) was sufficient.
- **A2.** Recommended bibliography and other material used (notes, power point presentations, articles, videos) covered the course content sufficiently.
- **A3.** Using a webpage or an educational platform (e-learn, e-class etc.) to upload course material, was useful, helped me understand the course and study further.
- A4. The course helped improve my competences and skills.

B. The Professor/Faculty staff

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)	3 6	

The Professor/Faculty staff:

- **B1.** Was well prepared and able to explain and clearly analyze the course content, during weekly lectures and additional educational activities.
- B2. Could answer questions clearly during lectures.
- B3. Was available and willing to guide me during office hours (in person or online).
- **B4.** Encouraged questions and remarks made by students and promoted discussions during lectures.
- **B5.** Could make me interested in the course.
- **B6.** Could demonstrate the connection between course and current research activity.
- **B7.** Distribution of course material between weekly lectures and additional educational activities (tutorials, laboratory rotations) was sufficient.
- **B8.** The learning outcomes, as described in the course outline, were achieved.

C. Evaluation	n of Infrastructure/cla	ssrooms-teaching			
Evaluate the	following statements	according to the gr	ading scale below:		
I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agre	ee
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)	
98 (5) 9 1	vince No. y 2	(3)			
The classroom	n:				
C1. Was suffic	cient in terms of capacit	y.			
C2. Had the a	ppropriate audiovisual e	quipment.			
D. General E	Evaluation				
Your opinion questions.	and suggestions are ve	ry important, please	include them in the	e following o	open
D1. In your maintai	opinion, which were ned?	the strong points	s of the course, w	orthy of bo	eing
[Space for you	ır answer]				
D2. Suggesti	ons for improving the	course:			
[Space for you	ur answer]				
E. General I	<u>Information</u>				
E1. Select yo	our study semester:				
1o	3o	5o <u>6o</u>	7o	9o 🗌	older 🗌
E2. I chose t	the course because:				
(You can sele	ct more than one answe	rs)			
☐ It is mand	atory for obtaining the p	program degree.			
☐ It is an ele	ective course that offers	further specialization	or has specific orier	itation	
I am a fres	shman, and the course is	s introductory.			
☐ I had no c	other choice, according t	o the curriculum of t	this semester.		
	rested in the topic.				
☐ I enjoyed	a previous course with t	his Professor/Facult	y staff		
Other rea	sons Please explain				

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE EVALUATION OF COURSE/TEACHING/LECTURES INCLUDING LABORATORY PRACTICE OR TUTORIAL TEMPLATE

A1. The course (lectures)

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		

- **A1.1.** The course organization (compliance with the timetable, course replacements, timely announcement of the examination system, information about the course outline, schedule of intermediate examinations, additional educational activities, announcements) was sufficient.
- **A1.2.** Recommended bibliography and other material used (notes, power point presentations, articles, videos) covered the course content sufficiently.
- **A1.3.** Using a webpage or educational platform (e-learn, e-class etc.) to upload educational material, was useful, helped me to understand the course and study further.
- **A1.4.** The course helped improve my competences and skills.

A2. The course (Laboratory practice/Tutorial)

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		

- **A2.1**. Laboratory practice/tutorial helped me further comprehend the theoretical issues discussed during lectures.
- A2.2. Educational material was available on time, digital or hard copy.
- A2.3. Exercises were well organized and presented in a satisfactory manner.

B1. The Professor/Faculty staff (lectures)

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		• •

The Professor/Faculty staff:

- **B.1.1.** Was well prepared and able to explain and clearly analyze the content of the course, during weekly lectures and additional educational activities.
- **B1.2.** Could answer questions clearly during lectures.
- B1.3. Was available and willing to guide me during office hours (in person or online).
- **B1.4.** Encouraged questions and remarks made by students and promoted discussions during lectures.
- **B1.5.** Could make me interested in the course.
- B1.6. Could demonstrate the connection between course and current research activity.
- **B1.7.** Distribution of the course material between weekly lectures and additional educational activities (tutorials, laboratory rotations) was well made.
- B1.8. The Learning outcomes, as described in the course outline, were achieved.

B2. The Professor/Faculty staff (Laboratory/Tutorial)

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		

The Professor/Faculty staff:

- B2.1. Could answer questions clearly during laboratories or tutorials
- **B2.2.** Was available and willing to guide me during office hours (in person or online)
- B2.3. Provided enough guidance in correcting mistakes and dealing with problems.

C. Evaluation of Infrastructure/classrooms/teaching

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
	100 W	(3)		

The classroom:

- C1. Was sufficient in terms of capacity.
- C2. Had the appropriate audiovisual equipment.

In case a PC was used:

- C3. All PCs functioned well and had the necessary software.
- C4. There were enough spots for all students.

questions.	
D1. According to your opinion, which were the strong points of the course, worthy b maintained?	eing
[Space for your answer]	
D2. Suggestions for improving the course:	
[Space for your answer]	
i Parkalija Okoa istrija, saaras etta kasa kasika dalaka et jara ja saara e	
E. General Information	
E1. Select your study semester:	
10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90	older 🗌
E2. I chose the course because:	
(You can select more than one answers)	
It is mandatory for obtaining the program degree.	
It is an elective course that offers further specialization or has specific orientation.	
I am a freshman, and the course is introductory.	
I had no other choice, according to the curriculum of this semester.	
☐ I was interested in the topic.	
I enjoyed a previous course of this Professor/Faculty staff.	
Other reasons [Please explain]	

Your opinion and suggestions are very important, please include them in the following open

D. General Evaluation

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE EVALUATION OF COURSE/TEACHING/SEMINAR TEMPLATE

A. The Seminar

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		

- **A1.** The seminar organization (time given to prepare projects/presentations, timely selection of project subject, compliance with the timetable, course replacements, timely announcement of the examination system, information about the course outline, schedule of intermediate examinations, additional educational activities, announcements) was sufficient.
- **A2.** Recommended bibliography and other material used (notes, power point presentations, articles, videos) covered the seminar content sufficiently.
- **A3.** Using a webpage or educational platform (e-learn, e-class etc.) to upload educational material, was useful, helped me understand the seminar and study further.
- **A4.** The seminar helped improve my competences and skills.
- A5. It encouraged interaction and collaboration among students.

B. The Professor/Faculty staff

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		

The Professor/Faculty staff:

- **B1.** Was well prepared and able to explain and clearly analyze the content of the seminar, during weekly lectures and additional educational activities.
- B2. Could answer questions clearly during lectures.
- B3. Was available and willing to guide me during office hours (in person or online).
- **B4.** Encouraged questions and remarks made by students and promoted discussions during the seminar.
- **B5.** Could make me interested in the seminar.
- **B6.** Could demonstrate the connection between seminar and current research activity.
- **B7.** Distribution of the seminar material between weekly lectures and additional educational activities (tutorials, laboratory rotations) was well made.
- B8. The Learning outcomes, as described in the seminar outline, were achieved.

Evaluate the	following statements	according to the	ne grading scal	e below:		
I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agr	ee I rather	agree	I agr	ee
(1)	(2)	nor disagre	e (4))	(5)	
		(3)				
The classroom	n:					
C1. Was suffic	cient in terms of capaci	ty.				
C2. Had the a	ppropriate audiovisual	equipment.				
	are for a real or a	18 11 1				
D. General E	<u>Evaluation</u>					
Your opinion	and suggestions are v	ery important, p	olease include th	em in the	following of	open
questions.						1 2
D1. Accordin	g to your opinion, wh ned?	ich were the str	ong points of th	ie seminar	, worthy b	eing
[Space for you	ır answer]					
	*					
D2. Suggesti	ons for improving the	e seminar:				
[Space for you	nr answer]					
E. General I	<u>nformation</u>					
E1. Select yo	ur study semester:					
1		1 6- 🗆 /	7	0 - 🗀	0- 🖂	-1.1
10	3o 4o E] 30 [] 0	0	80	90 🗌	older 🗌
E2. I chose t	he seminar because:					
	ose more than one ansv	vers)				
	ctive course that offers	152	ation or has spec	cific orient:	ation.	
	oted even though it was	_				
	ave the prerequisites re			ting for me	e, seminar.	
	ested in the topic.	1	,	8		
	previous course of thi	s Professor/Fac	ulty staff.			
10 (5	ons [Please explain]		5.53			

C. Evaluation of Infrastructure/lecture rooms/teaching

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE EVALUATION OF COURSE/TEACHING/LABORATORY PRACTICE TEMPLATE

A. The Laboratory

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
.,	51	(3)		10

- **A1.** The laboratory practice organization (compliance with the timetable, course replacements, timely announcement of the examination system, information about the course outline, schedule of intermediate examinations, additional educational activities, announcements) was well made.
- A2. Recommended bibliography and other material used (notes, power point presentations, articles, videos) covered the lab practice content sufficiently.
- **A3.** Using a webpage or educational platform (e-learn, e-class etc.) to upload educational material, was useful, helped me understand the laboratory practice and study further.
- A4. The laboratory practice helped improve my competences and skills.
- A5. It encouraged interaction and collaboration among students.

B. The Professor/faculty staff

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		

The Professor/faculty staff

- **B1.** Was well prepared and able to explain and clearly analyze the content of the laboratory practice, during weekly lectures and additional educational activities.
- B2. Could answer questions clearly during lectures.
- B3. Was available and willing to guide me during office hours (in person or online).
- **B4.** Encouraged questions and remarks made by students and promoted discussions during laboratory practice.
- **B5.** Could keep me interested in the laboratory practice activities.
- B6. Could demonstrate the connection between lab practice and current research activity.
- **B7.** Distribution of the lab material between weekly exercises, weekly lectures and lab practice was sufficient.

B8. The Learn	ing outcomes, as d	escribed in the	course out	line, were a	chieved.		
C. Evaluation	of Infrastructure	/classrooms,	teaching				
Evaluate the	following stateme	ents according	g to the gra	ading scale	below:		
I disagree	I rather disagr	ee I neithe	er agree	I rather	agree	I agr	ee
(1)	(2)	nor di	sagree	(4)		(5)	
		(:	3)				
The classroom							
C1. Was suffic	tient in terms of cap	pacity.					
C2. Had the ap	ppropriate audiovis	ual equipment					
D. General E	<u>valuation</u>						
Your opinion questions.	and suggestions ar	e very import	ant, please	include the	em in the	following	open
	g to your opinion aintaining?	, which were	the strong	points of	the labora	atory prac	ctice,
[Space for you	r answer]						
D2. Suggestic	ons for improving r answer]	the lab pract	ice:				
E. General In	nformation or study semester:						
1o	3o \(\) 4c	5o 🗌	6o 🗌	7o 🗌	8o 🗌	9o 🗌	older 🗌
	ne laboratory pract t more than one an						
☐ It is manda	tory for obtaining t	he program de	egree.				
☐ It is an elec	tive course that off	ers further spe	cialization o	or has speci	fic orienta	tion.	
I was accep	ted but it was not o	one of my top	choices.				
I did not ha	we the prerequisite	s to attend oth	er lab pract	ices, more	relevant to	me.	
☐ I was intere	ested in the topic.						
☐ I enjoyed a	previous course of	this Professor	/Faculty st	aff.			
	ons [Please explain]						

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE EVALUATION OF CLINICAL CLERKSHIP BY THE STUDENTS

TEMPLATE

A. Clinical Practice:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		

- A1. The clinical clerkship you just attended was well organized.
- A2. The Educational value of the clinical clerkship you just completed was important.
- A3. Your Professor/Faculty staff and Head of the Department was involved and contributed significantly to the specific clinical clerkship.
- **A4.** The **rest of the Faculty staff** of the Department were involved and contributed to your training.
- **A5.** Supervising physicians in the Department were involved and contributed to your training.
- **A6.** Residents in the Department were involved and contribute to your training.
- A7. Nursing staff of the Department were involved and contributed to your training.
- **A8**. Do you consider that distribution of educational time (history taking, physical examination, bedside teaching, outpatient dept., interventional practices, theoretical courses) during your Clinical clerkship was sufficient?
- A9. Do you consider recommended bibliography and educational material sufficient?
- A10. Did you gain enough experience in medical history taking of patients in the specific Department?
- A11. Did you gain enough experience in physical examination practice of patients in the specific Department?
- A12. Do you think that enough time was given to acquiring practical, clinical, and interventional skills, during your Clinical Practice?
- **A.13.** Did you acquire **Practical/Interventional skills** during your training in the specific Department?
- A14. Did you acquire other (i.e., communication) skills during your training in the specific Department?

- **A15.** Have you reached a **sufficient level** of knowledge and skills in disease diagnosis and treatment?
- **A16.** Were you exposed **enough** to the initial evaluation of emergencies in the specific Department?
- **A17**. Were you able to take initiative during your training in this specific Department; initiative that will help you become independent in your future career as clinical doctor?
- A18. Criteria of evaluation and grading were clear.

B. Professors/Faculty staff:

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

(Please do so for the entire faculty staff)

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		

The Professors/faculty Staff:

- B1. Could make me interested in the specific Clinical Practice.
- **B2.** Encouraged questions, and remarks.
- B3. Encouraged medical acts from all students.
- **B4.** Encouraged critical thinking.
- B5. They were consistent with their obligations (timetable, bedside teaching).
- **B6.** Were generally accessible to students.
- **B7.** Could demonstrate the connection between the course with clinical medicine and current research activity.

C. Evaluation of Infrastructure & General Evaluation

C1. Evaluate logistical infrastructure and staff involved in the educational activity of the Department.

[Space for your answer]

C2. State flaws you have experienced (regarding faculty staff, operation of the Department, organization of educational time, approach of evaluation etc.).

[Space for your answer]

C3. Compare your training in the Department to the ones in other Departments.

[Space for your answer]

C4. Compare what you learned in the Dep (as part of exchange programmes).	artment, with what you have learn	ned abroad
[Space for your answer]		
C5. Remarks and suggestions for improving	g the specific Clinical clerkship.	
[Space for your answer]		
D. General Information		
D1. Select your study semester:		
90 100 110 120 older	: 🗆	
D2. I was present:		
In every activity of the Department	In most activities	
D3. During the semester how much did you	u study for this Clinical clerkship, in	n average:
No tome \Box 1 – 5 hours \Box 6	- 10 hours ☐ 11 - 16 hours ☐	over 16 hours

QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE EVALUATION OF STUDIES AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CRETE

(The questionnaire is completed by the final year students of each Academic Department):

A. I, "the student":

A1. Have attended classes at a: < 20% 20-40% 40-60% 60-80% 80-100%
A2. My accommodation was:

Room at student accommodation facility on Campus.

Room at student accommodation facility off Campus.

Rented flat by myself.

Shared flat.

I leave with my parents.

Other.

B1. THE COURSE CURRICULUM -Organization and quality of the course curriculum

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		

- B1.1. The course curriculum structure allows graduation on time.
- **B1.2.** There is a great variety of courses, which allow the versatile approach of the School/Dept. field of study.
- B1.3. The course curriculum structure allows a gradual deepening into the specific discipline.
- B1.4. Courses follow international developments of the scientific area.
- **B1.5.** The course curriculum promotes a connection with relevant sciences as well as an interdisciplinary approach.

B2. COURSE CURRICULUM - Research dimension of the course curriculum

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)

- B2.1. Teaching includes contemporary research findings in all educational modules.
- **B2.2.** The course curriculum includes courses related to research methods of our scientific field.
- **B2.3.** The course curriculum includes courses related to research (ex. seminars, laboratory practice).

C1. PRACTICAL TRAINING & COURSE CURRICULUM- Issues related to your profession (liaison with labor market) within your course curriculum

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
16 mg 12	sky maja i viillijan jakointi	(3)		

- C1.1. Sufficient information is provided on the field of study liaison with the labor market.
- C1.2. Research-oriented courses (laboratory practice, projects, seminars etc.,) connect theory and practice successfully.
- **C1.3.** The course curriculum offers opportunities for practice and obtaining skills with relevant, future professional fields.

C2. PRACTICAL TRAINING (if part of your study program)

If not, please go to question D1.

Medical students who acquire professional experience through Clinical clerkship, need to answer questions C2.1 and C2.4 ONLY.

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		

- **C2.1.** The duration of my practical (or clinical) training is enough to acquire experience in the field.
- C2.2. The University offers satisfactory support in practical training opportunities.
- C2.3. There is a connection between practical training and study programmes.
- **C2.4.** Academic supervision of practical (or clinical) training is adequately supported by the School/Dept. faculty.

C2.5. Participat		ining program helps	acquire a first profession	ıal
D. SUPPORT	PROVIDED BY FA	CULTY		
Evaluate the fe	ollowing statements	according to the gr	ading scale below:	
I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree (3)	(4)	(5)
D1. Faculty s	staff provide advice an	d support when aske	d.	
	ff provide enough feed resentations).	back to the student (ex. comments on exami	nations,
D3. Adequat learn, e-clas		study is provided by	faculty and by using plat	forms (e-
	o in the second			
E. STUDIES A	ABROAD			
E1. Have you sp	pent a period of study	abroad?		
YES	NO 🗌			
	ve spent a period of s to question F1.	tudy abroad, please	answer question E2, i	f not, please
E2. Your studie of study?	es abroad have contrib	uted to the advancen	nent of your knowledge	in your field
YES	NO 🗌			
<u>F. EVALUATI</u>	ION PROCEDURE	IN MY SCHOOL	ACADEMIC DEPAR	TMENT
Evaluate the fo	ollowing statements	according to the gr	ading scale below:	
I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree (3)	(4)	(5)
F1. Evaluation i	is made regularly, in ev	ery semester and for	all courses.	
	ns asked in the questic		rse are enough to evalua	ite important
		chill by the control of	by my School/Departm	ent.
	ularly complete the co			

Evaluate the f	following statements	according to the gr	ading scale below:	
I disagree (1)	I rather disagree (2)	I neither agree nor disagree	I rather agree	I agree (5)
			(4)	
		(3)		
G1.1. There is	sufficient printed mater	rial (books, articles, e	tc.) for my studies.	
G1.2. Electron	ic platforms available a	re sufficient for my f	ield of study.	
G1.3. The libra	ry staff offer enough s	upport.		
G1.4. The libra	ry provides sufficient e	electronic loan servic	es.	
G1.5. The libra	ary premises are comfo	rtable.		
G1.6. The libra	ary opening hours suffic	ce.		
G1.7. Did you	attend the educational	seminars organized l	oy the library?	
YES [NO 🗌			
1774 HEALT CONT.	nswer to the question	above was "yes"] We	re the Seminars offered	d by the library
	r me as a user?			
YES	□ NO □			
	tions for the library so	ervices improveme	<u>nts</u>	
(Space for you	<u>r answer)</u>			
OA SERVICI	DO A INEDACTRIC	TUDE IL CD	*10a#	
	ES & INFRASTRUC			
Evaluate the	following statements	according to the gr		
I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	(5)
		(3)		
G2.1. Teaching	g/seminar/laboratory p	oremises are in a goo	d condition.	
G2.2. Capacity	y of teaching/seminar/	laboratory premises i	s sufficient.	

G2.3. There is easy access to all UoC premises for students with disabilities.

G3. SERVICES & INFRASTRUCTURE – Availability of electronic means and services supporting educational procedures

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree (1)	I rather disagree (2)	I neither agree nor disagree (3)	I rather agree (4)	I agree (5)
G3.1. Internet	access in the UoC is ad	lequate.		
G3.2. Software	available is up to date	and suitable for the s	students' needs.	
G3.3. Student	support by the UoC He	elp Desk service is ac	lequate.	
G3.4. Wi-Fi ac	cess in the UoC (choos	se below):		
There	is no access.			
There	is access in some room	ns/buildings/areas.		
There	is access in all rooms/	theaters.		
There	is access in all UoC pro	emises.		
1 7 1				
	ES & INFRASTRUC and my School/Dep		on available through	the UoC
Evaluate the f	following statements	according to the gr	ading scale below:	
I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	nor disagree (3)	(4)	(5)
G4.1. Informat	ion is easily accessible	through the UoC we	b page.	
G4.2. Quality a	nd quantity of the avai	lable information is a	idequate.	
	ion available in the Sch n is adequate.	nool/Department we	b page regarding my co	ourse

G4.5. Information about the examination programme is uploaded on my School/Department web page early enough.

G4.4. Information about the courses timetable is uploaded on my School/Department web

page early enough.

G5. SERVICES & INFRASTRUCTURE – Student support services

Evaluate the following statements according to the grading scale below:

I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree	I rather agree	I agree (5)
(1)	(2)	nor disagree	(4)	
		(3)		
G5.1. The Scho	ool/Department Secret	ariat services are suff	icient.	
G5.2. Informat	ion about meals/housi	ng/health coverage i	s sufficient.	
G5.3. Informat	ion about scholarships	available is sufficient	to de la composition	
G5.4. Informat	ion about exchange pr	ograms abroad (Eras	mus) is sufficient.	
G5.5. Informat	ion about ethical matte	ers in education is suf	fficient.	
G5.6. Informat	ion about the Student	Ombudsman service	is sufficient.	
G5.7. I used th	e services offered by th	ne Student Counsellir	ng Center.	
YES	NO 🗌			
	enswer to the question out (please choose bel		contacted the Student (Counselling
Academic Issue	es Issues related t	to students with Spec	ial Needs 🔲 Othe	r issues 🗌
H SOCIALI	IFE AT THE UOC	– STUDENT LIFE		
	following statements			
	O 800		,	Lacros
I disagree	I rather disagree	I neither agree nor disagree	I rather agree	I agree
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
H1. Relations a	and interaction among	students improve ed	ucation and help learn	ing.
H2. As a rule, students.	there are good relation	s and effective comn	nunication among facu	lty and
-	on to (non-academic) o y (ex. cultural student §		dequately supported b	y the
H4. Sports are	adequately supported	by the UoC (facilities	s and staff).	
H5. The Unive	ersity offers a feeling o	f security.		
H6. The city is	friendly and supportiv	e to students.		

	Non isfactory	Satisfactory (2)	Neither satisfactory/ nor non satisfactory		satisfactory/ nor non	Rather satisfactory	Very satisfactory
	(1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					(4)
			(3)				
H7. 1	My education	al experience at the	UoC was:				
I. Fir	nally please	answer the questic	ons that foll	low:			
I1.	Were the qu	uestions comprehen	sible?	YES	NO 🗌		
I2.	Were the q	uestions too many?		YES	NO 🗌		
I3.	Were the qu	uestions useful?		YES 🗌	NO 🗌		

• Εγκρίνει στην αγγλική γλώσσα τη Διαδικασία Ηλεκτρονικής Αξιολόγησης Μαθήματος/Διδασκαλίας μέσα στην αίθουσα από τους φοιτητές, όπως αποτυπώνεται στο Παράρτημα 2, το οποίο αποτελεί αναπόσπαστο κομμάτι του αποσπάσματος πρακτικών της υπ' αριθμ. 40 %/21-12-2022 Συνεδρίασης της Μονάδας Διασφάλισης και Ποιότητας (ΜΟ.ΔΙ.Π.) του Πανεπιστημίου Κρήτης, ως ακολούθως:

Electronic Evaluation Procedure for Courses/Teaching by the students, in the classroom

Every semester an electronic evaluation for courses/teaching is made by the students about the **Undergraduate & Postgraduate** Programs of the Academic Schools and Departments, as follows:

- 1. The evaluation of courses/teaching takes place in winter and spring semester, every year between weeks 9th and 11th. As for the Questionnaires for the (a)Clinical Practice and (b)Didactic Exercise/Practical Training in teaching, they are completed during the last week of the actual Clinical Practice or Didactic Exercise respectively.
- 2. The evaluation dates are announced by the School/Dept. webpage. All students are notified by the School/Dept. Registrar.
- 3. Evaluation is anonymous, completion of questionnaires is made in class, on a specified day and time, during courses. Supervision of the procedure is made by a different faculty staff member than the one evaluated.
- 4. The School/Dept. Registrar:
 - a. Uploads questionnaires in the IQAS website, as well as vouchers used, bearing the QR code, the questionnaire URL, as well as the unique code (token) for the completion of each questionnaire.
 - b. Attends to the tokens distribution among students that are present in class.
 - c. Informs students in good time that to complete the evaluation, a smart phone, tablet, or laptop is required.
 - 5. The IQAS of every School/Department:
 - a) Supervises the unimpeachable evaluation of courses.
 - b) Sends all faculty staff feedback on the evaluation of their courses and informs students of the average grade achieved, for all courses, per semester and for every programme of study, Undergraduate or Postgraduate.
 - 6. The results in total, per semester and per academic year, can be found on the webpage of every Undergraduate or Postgraduate program.

Εγκρίνει στην αγγλική γλώσσα τη Διαδικασία Στατιστικής Επεξεργασίας Ερωτηματολογίων και Υπολογισμού Βαθμολογίας Αξιολόγησης Μαθημάτων Α΄ και Β΄ Κύκλου, όπως αποτυπώνεται στο Παράρτημα 3, το οποίο αποτελεί αναπόσπαστο κομμάτι του αποσπάσματος πρακτικών της υπ' αριθμ. 40^{ns}/21-12-2022 Συνεδρίασης της Μονάδας Διασφάλισης και Ποιότητας (ΜΟ.ΔΙ.Π.) του Πανεπιστημίου Κρήτης, ως ακολούθως:

Statistic Processing of Questionnaires & Calculation of Grades given to Undergraduate and Graduate courses

1. Lectures (A' and B' study cycles)

- The average grade of courses given by the students, will be the average of (12) questions A1-A4 & B1-B8, on the rating scale 1-5.
- The faculty staff grade will be the average of (8) questions **B1-B8**.

2. Lectures that include Laboratory practice or Tutorial (A 'study cycle)

- The average grade of courses given by the students will be the average of (18) questions A1.1-A1.4 & A2.1-A2.3 & B1.1-B1.8 & B2.1-B2.3 on the rating scale 1-5.
- The grade of the Professor/Faculty staff in <u>Lectures</u> will be the average of (8) questions **B1.1 B1.8**.
- The grade of the Professor/Faculty staff in <u>Laboratory practice/Tutorial</u> will be the average of (3) questions **B2.1-B2.3**.

3. Seminars & 4. Laboratory practices (A' study cycle)

- The average grade of courses given by the students, will be the average of (13) questions A1-A5 & B1-B8, on the rating scale 1-5.
 - The grade of the Professor/Faculty staff will be the average of (8) questions **B1.1 B1.8**.

5. Didactic Exercises or Practical Training in Teaching (A' study cycle)

- The average grade of courses, will be the average of (6) questions **A1-A4 & B1-B2**, on the rating scale 1-5.
- The grade of the didactic exercise/practical training in teaching, will the average of (2) questions **B1-B2**.

6. Clinical clerkship (A' study cycle)

- The average grade of courses (Clinical clerkship) given by the students, will be the average of (25) questions A1-A18 & B1-B7, on the rating scale 1-5.
- The grade of Professors/Faculty staff will be the average of (7) questions **B1-B7**.

Απόσπασμα πρακτικών

Pεθομνο, 7-2-2023

Ο Πούτανης του Πανεπιστημίου Κρήτης,

Καθηγητής Γεώργιος Μ. Κοντάκης